



UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/510,560	02/22/00	CUMMING		K	00.1090.US	
_			\neg	EXAMINER		
		HM12/0326	•			
Elan Holdings Inc				PULLTAM_A		
1300 Gould Drive				ART UN	IIT PAPER NUM	IBER
Gainesville	GA 30504				•	ام
				1615		2
				DATE MAIL	ED:	
				03/26/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

				_						
		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)							
	Office Action Summary	09/510,560		CUMMING ET AL.						
	omeoned Summary	Examiner	=	Art Unit						
		Amy E Pulliam		1615						
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, how, within the statutory min will apply and will expire so	ever, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed swill be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2000 .								
2a) <u></u>	<u> </u>	is action is non-fi	nal.							
3)	, -									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ation.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected.									
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.							
Applicati	on Papers									
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to		r.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.										
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		,							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. ¥ 119									
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	USC \$ 119(a)	-(d) or (f)						
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority arraor oc	J.C.C. & 115(a)	(4) 51 (1).						
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been recei	ved							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori				Stage					
	application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		010.90					
14) 🖾 .	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).						
ttachment((s)									
6) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	19) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper N atent Application (P						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) Application/Control Number: 09/510,560

Art Unit: 1615

1. Jr.

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the Declaration and the Information Disclosure Statement, received June 19, 2000, and January 25, 2001, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 40 provides for the use of a drug and an enhancer, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under

Art Unit: 1615

35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13, 15-39, and 41-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by WO 97/05903 to Watts *et al.* Watts *et al.* disclose a drug delivery composition for colonic delivery comprising a drug, and an absorption promoter (p 24, claim 1). More specifically, Watts *et al.* teach that the absorption promoter comprises a fatty acid or a salt thereof, where the fatty acid has between 6 and 16 carbon atoms, for example capric acid or its salt (p 24, claims 1 and 3). Watts *et al.* further teach that the drug can be chosen from insulin, calcitonin, LHRH, buserelin, goserelin, vasopressin, heparin, and more (p 8, I 1-12, and p 24, claim 6). Lastly, Watts *et al.* teach that the composition is formulated in a capsule, tablet, or pellet which is coated with a material which is dissolved by the conditions found in the intestines, such as a cellulose ester or a methacrylic acid polymer (p 25, claims 8, and 12-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/510,560

Art Unit: 1615

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-39, and 41-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watts et al, as discussed above. Watts et al. are discussed above as teaching a formulation with a drug and an enhancer, as well as a rate controlling coating. Applicant does not specifically state that the coating can be HPMC, as claimed in applicant's claim 14. However, it is the position of the examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any rate controlling polymer which is well known in the pharmaceutical art on the formulation disclosed by Watts et al.. the expected result would be a successful controlled release formulation. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is (703) 308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 09/510,560

Art Unit: 1615

308-7922 for regular communications and (703) 308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Amy E. Pulliam Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 March 22, 2001

> THURMAN OF PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800